

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,346	07/08/2003	Frank Weiss	449122058100	7835	
25227	7590 02/07/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300			MCCALL, E	MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, V	A 22102		2855		
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/614,346	WEISS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric S. McCall	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 2-4 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d				
	or the certified copies flot receive	u.				
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/08/03 & 1/05/04.	5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	· — — —					

Art Unit: 2855

# METHOD FOR DIAGNOSING INCORRECT VALVE LIFT ADJUSTMENT IN AN INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE

# <u>FIRST OFFICE ACTION</u>

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The information disclosure statements filed on July 08, 2003 and Jan. 05, 2004 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because they do not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

# **DRAWINGS**

The drawings are objected to because the labeling as used therein is not in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,346 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### **CLAIMS**

#### 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frankl et al. (5,641,891).

With respect to claims 1 and 6, Frankl et al. teach a method for diagnosing a defect in an adjustment mechanism for adjusting a valve lift of at least one inlet valve in an internal combustion engine, the operation of which is regulated by an operation control device, comprising:

monitoring an operating parameter (ie. flow value) of the internal combustion engine, which is set to a target value when the valve lift is actually adjusted by the operation control device (col. 1, lines 28-32); and

deducing a defect in the adjustment mechanism (ie. adjustment is needed) from a discrepancy between the operating parameter and the target value when a valve lift adjustment is triggered (col. 1, lines 33-36).

The Examiner notes that the "valve" as claimed by the Applicant is suggested/taught by the prior art because the claims only set forth the term "valve" in general terms and do not set forth the type of valve of the invention.

With respect to claim 7, Frankl et al. suggest that on identification of a defect in the adjustment mechanism (ie. the determined flow value is out of adjustment) it regulates the operation of the internal combustion engine according to an actual lift of an inlet valve at a time of an activated valve lift adjustment because eventhough the valve is out of adjustment the engine will still operate at a level dictated by the current valve operation while the valve is adjusted.

With regards to claim 8, Frankl et al. suggest that the operation control device activates an error display on identification of a defect in the adjustment mechanism because a flowmeter is set forth as being used wherein a flowmeter inherently has a display.

### *35 U.S.C.* § *103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frankl et al. (5,641,891).

With regards to claim 5, Frankl et al. fail to explicitly teach that the operation is carried out individually for each cylinder in the internal combustion engine.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that such an operation is carried out individually for each cylinder in the engine.

The motivation being that the purpose of the Frankl et al. teaching is to determine and adjust the flow in valves of an engine wherein the word "valves" is set forth as being plural. It is commonly known that the number of valves of the type as set forth by Frankl et al. equal the number of cylinders in an engine. Therefore, if the purpose is to determine and adjust the flow in the valves then it would be reasonable to believe that the word valves implies all of the valves (ie. the operation is carried out individually for each cylinder in the engine as claimed) because if only some valves are monitored while others are not, the purpose of the invention, which is to get the engine running properly, would be defeated.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims because the prior art fails to teach or suggest the parameters as claimed.

Art Unit: 2855

**REFERENCES CITED** 

The Applicant's attention is directed to the enclosed "PTO-892" form for the prior art

made of record in this action.

<u>CONTACT INFORMATION</u>

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Eric S. McCall whose telephone number is (571) 272-2183.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric S. McCall

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2855

Feb. 02, 2005